## Marking Scheme Field Work

# 6<sup>th</sup> International Geography Olympiad

## Brisbane

June 2006

## Question 1 - Map - 7 Marks

Mark out of 14 and divide by 2 at the end. (Sample map was provided to markers.)

Shading according to land uses	
5 colours X 2 marks for each land use	10
(minus <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> mark for each mistake)	
Appropriate title	
Must have "Land Use" and "Kelvin Grove Urban Village"	1/2 1/2
<u>Key (or legend)</u>	
Must have 5 colours for 5 named land uses	1
North Point	
Must have an arrow pointing in the right direction. (Acceptable deviation from the correct direction was worked out by the markers.)	1⁄2
Must have a N or North (if the direction is incorrect, no marks will be awarded)	1/2
<u>Scale</u>	
The scale is approximately one centimetre on the map represents 20 metres on the ground	
Must have the scale correct to 10% either way	1/2
Plus the correct unit of measurement, i.e., metres, km	1/2
Initial Total	out of 14
Divide by 2, final marks	out of 7

### **Question 2 - Population Pyramid - 5 Marks**

Mark out of 10 and divide by 2 at the end. (Sample population pyramid was provided to markers.)

#### Appropriate vertical scale

The graph must be balanced (minus  $\frac{1}{2}$  or 1 mark if too flat or 1 too high)

#### Appropriate labelling of Axes

Must have Percentage (or %) of population on the horizontal  $\frac{1}{2}$  axis

Accurate Depresentation of Data	
Females	1⁄2
Males	1⁄2
Age	1⁄2

#### Accurate Representation of Data

Must be bars, not lines (either of a gap or no gap between 1 bars)

The bars must be drawn accurately (minus  $\frac{1}{2}$  mark for each 3 mistake). Please see the calculations below to guide your marking of each bar

Age	Males %	Females %	
0-14	4.1	5.3	
15-24	10.1	12.7	
25-34	10.2	10.7	
35-44	7.2	7.4	
45-54	7.5	7.7	
55-64	5.1	5.6	
65-74	1.8	2.3	
75+	1.0	1.3	
	47%	53%	

#### Appropriate Layout

Must be a gap in the middle (for the vertical scale). (Varied during marking to accept age scale to one side.)	1/2
The bars must be drawn without numbers written on them	1/2
Appropriate Title	
Must include: Age Sex Population	1/2
Pyramid	1/2
2010	1/2
Kelvin Grove Urban Village	1/2
Initial Total	out of 10
divide by 2 - final mark	out of 5

### **Question Three - Population Pyramid Analysis - 5 Marks**

## PART A - Description of significant age and gender distribution groups (2010) - 2 marks

Any 4 valid points for 2 marks

#### Sample points

- Higher % of females in each age group
- Majority of people are expected to be in the 15 to 34 age groups (i.e. 15 to 24 group and 25 to 34 group)
- Low % of people more than 65 years (older age groups), e.g. 65 74 ( 4.1 %), 75 + (2.3%)
- Second largest age groups expected to be 35 44 years and 45 54 year group (i.e. 14.6% and 15.2%)
- Small % of people expected in the 55 64 years group (10.7%)
- Smallest % of people are expected in the 0 14 years group (9.4%)

#### PART B - similarities and the differences between the forecast population for Kelvin Grove Urban Village and the current population of Kelvin Grove suburb - 3 marks

Students MUST have a minimum of three valid points highlighting the similarities and a minimum of three valid points showing the differences between the data to obtain a total of 3 marks.

#### SIMILARITIES (Examples) - 1<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> marks

- Both have females as the highest % in each age group
- The % in the 0 14 age group is much the same for both pyramids
- Like the 2010 pyramid, the 2001 pyramid had the greatest % in the 15 34 age group

#### **DIFFERENCES (Examples) - 1<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> marks**

- The 2001 pyramid shows a greater % in the 75+ year age group, especially the % of females
- The 2001 pyramid shows a greater % in the 15 24 age group and the 25 34 age group for both males and females
- The 2001 pyramid has a lower % in the 35 64 age group

### **Question 4 - Map Analysis - 5 Marks**

#### Description of distribution of land uses - 4 valid points for 2 marks

#### Examples of points

- There are a variety of land uses
- Village centre is at the centre of the hub
- Mixed use is along the main street (Musk Street)
- There are designated residential areas on the outer sections of the village and on the upper floors of mixed use buildings
- Parks and open spaces are distributed throughout
- Considerable university land use in the creative arts precinct
- The large amount of vacant space indicates future development

#### Explain the distribution of these land uses - at least 3 valid factors for 3 marks

#### Examples

- **Based on walking** (you can live without a car)
- A variety of land uses where everything is in walking distance, e.g. shops, restaurants, school, supermarkets
- Access to public transport
- **Mixed tenure** Premises may be owned, leased or rented and this provides for a variety of life styles and age groups, e.g. student accommodation, public housing, managed senior accommodation
- Mixed use / high density
- In the centre there are multi-storey buildings with commercial/retail uses on the ground floors and residential predominantly on the upper floors
- Encourage residents to live, work and shop in the village
- Sense of neighbourhood
- Residential areas are clustered together
- Parks and open spaces encourage people to go out and play or meet people
- Shop fronts face the main street and this promotes the idea of a village
- All residential areas are away from the main road (Kelvin Grove Road)

Students may use the following **terms** to explain the distribution:

- Environmental/ecological
- Social sustainability
- Economic

## **Question 5 - Evaluation Matrix - 5 Marks**

All squares complete with at least 1 valid attribute listed [positive or negative]	5 marks
All squares, with the exception of 1 or 2, complete with at least 1 valid attribute listed [positive or negative]	4
All squares, with the exception of 3 or 4, complete with at least 1 valid attribute listed [positive or negative]	3
All squares, with the exception of 5 or 6, complete with at least 1 valid attribute listed [positive or negative]	2
All squares, with the exception of 7 or 8, complete with at least 1 valid attribute listed [positive or negative]	1

#### Examples of valid attributes

Proposals\criteria A 3-storey development, the ground floor of which will be retail [eg, coffee shop, Oxfam shop, budget clothing shop] and the two floors above being single bedroom units aimed at the student market.	the development needs to complement developments already completed or planned - mixed use [+] - already student accommodation [-] - sufficient retail already?	the development should be in keeping with the idea of a sustainable urban development - mixed use [+]	the development should not detract from the natural and social environmental qualities of the overall village - contributes to sense of neighbourhood [+]
A 3-storey development, the ground floor of which is a gymnasium and associated health services ,the two floors remaining providing space for offices for companies such as small publishing companies, technology support companies, and the like.	- utilized by all age groups [+] - already a gym [-] - these companies could interface well with those already in village [+]	- mixed use [+] - uses space effectively [+]	<ul> <li>use by existing and new community [+]</li> <li>add to 'sense of community'.[+]</li> <li>not necessarily a positive for sense of neighbourhood. [-]</li> </ul>
A 3-storey development, comprising one and two bedroom units aimed at the over 55 market.	- already seniors accommodation [-] - a distance from other services for the elderly [-] - more suitable location for older groups than some already existing housing [+]	- one use building [-] - unsustainable [-] - caters for one age group only [-]	- seniors may feel isolated [-] - may assist to create sense of neighbourhood [+]

## **Question 6 - Decision Making - 13 Marks**

There is no one correct answer – any of the 3 options could be chosen by a student as the better option. What is important is how the student argues for the option they have chosen – the justification that they mount for it.

Response Characteristics	Marks
Excellent:	1
States preferred development proposal	1
Indicates how the chosen development meets the criteria - gives at least 3 points of justification with 2 points per criterion	6
Indicates how the development proposals are less suitable - gives at least 4 points and mentions both proposals	4
Makes mention of the	
age-sex pyramid the land use map	1
Good:	•
States preferred development proposal	1
Indicates how the chosen development meets most of the criteria - gives at least 3 points of justification with 2 criteria with 2 points	5
Indicates how the other development proposals are less suitable - gives 3 points	3
Makes mention of the	
age-sex pyramid land use map	1 1
Satisfactory: States preferred development proposal	1
Indicates superficially how the chosen development meets most of the criteria - makes 4 points	4
Limited reference to other proposals	2
Limited mentions of age sex pyramid and land use map	1
Poor:	
States preferred development proposal	1
Inappropriate justification of the decision (using the criteria or otherwise)	3
Some reference to other proposals but extraneous or irrelevant	1
No reference to the age-sex pyramid or land use map	0